

*02 JUL 12 PM 1 10

July 12, 2002

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

The Honorable Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

In Re: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Entry Into Long Distance (InterLATA) Service in Tennessee Pursuant to section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. 97-00309

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Please accept for filing the original and fourteen copies of the testimony of Mary Conquest on behalf of ITC ^DeltaCom Communications Inc. in the above-captioned proceeding.

Very truly yours,

BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY, PLC

By:

Henry Walker

HW/nl Attachment

1-12/16/02)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 12^h day of July, 2002, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, via hand-delivery, overnight delivery or U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

H. LaDon Baltimore, Esq. Farrar & Bates 211 Seventh Ave. No., #420 Nashville, TN 37219-1823

Charles B. Welch, Esq. Farris, Mathews, et al 618 Church St., Suite 300 Nashville, TN 37219

Jon E. Hastings, Esq. Boult, Cummings, et al. P.O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062

Guy Hicks, Esq.
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
333 Commerce Street, #2101
Nashville, TN 37201-3300

Jim Wright, Esq. United Telephone-Southeast 14111 Capital Blvd. Wake Forest, NC 27587

Andrew M. Klein, Esq. Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Donald L. Scholes, Esq.
Branstetter, Kilgore, Stranch & Jennings
227 Second Ave., North
Nashville, TN 37210-1631

Dana Shaffer, Esq. XO Tennessee, Inc. 105 Molloy St. Nashville, TN 37201 John McLaughlin, Jr.
Director, State Government Affairs
KMC Telecom
1755 North Brown Rd.
Lawrenceville, TN 30043

Guilford Thornton, Esq. Stokes & Bartholomew 424 Church Street Nashville, TN 37219

D. Billye Sanders, Esq. Waller, Lansden, Dortch & Davis 511 Union Street, #2100 Nashville, TN 37219-1750

Tim Phillips, Esq. Attorney General's Office Consumer Advocate and Protection Division P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202

AT&T Communications of the South Central States Sylvia Anderson, Esq. 1200 Peachtree St., NE Room 4060 Atlanta, GA 30309

Henry Campen First Union Capital Center 150 Fayetteville St. Mall Suite 1400 Raleigh, NC 27602-0389

Nanette Edwards, Esq. ITC^ DeltaCom 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802

New Warks

1 Q: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

2 A: My name is Mary Conquest. I am employee of ITC^DeltaCom Communications,

Inc., ("ITC^DeltaCom"), and my business address is 600 Boulevard South,

4 Huntsville, Alabama 35802.

ŕ

6 Q: PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR EDUCATION AND WORK HISTORY.

8 A: My education and relevant work experience are as follows:

I received a masters certificate from George Washington University for project management. I have been employed in the telecommunications industry for over thirty-five years. I began my career with Southern Bell in 1966. I held various positions within BellSouth over that time period as an employee and as a consultant. My last position with BellSouth was as a Certified Project Manager in IT. I also acted as a consultant to BellSouth in the area of billing. As part of the billing assignment, I supported their development of J Billing ("UNE-P"). I retired from BellSouth in December of 1996. My consultant assignment for BellSouth occurred between 1997-1999. As a manager of BellSouth's regional service order support staff, I am very familiar with BellSouth's legacy systems. I am employed by ITC^DeltaCom and specialize in the areas of OSS – ordering and gateway support to ILECs including but not limited to BellSouth.

HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?

23 A: NO.

Q:

1	Q:	HAVE YOU ATTENDED ANY OSS WORKSHOPS?			
2	A:	Yes. I have attended state workshops/conference calls in Texas, Florida,			
3		Georgia, and Louisiana that covered a wide range of topics including: OSS,			
4		performance measures, and third-party testing.			
5					
6	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?			
7	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to			
8					
9		Discuss the Disparity in Operation Service System Support (OSS)			
10		inadequate Change Management Process;			
11		flawed Performance Measurement Process; and			
12		advocate that this Commission undertake an independent third party test			
13		that is specific to Tennessee			
14					
15	Q:	EXPLAIN WHY ITC^DELTACOM DOES NOT HAVE THE SAME OR SIMILAR			
16		ACCESS TO OSS THAT BELLSOUTH RETAIL REPRESENTATIVES ENJOY.			
17	A:	ITC^DeltaCom does not enjoy the same level of OSS support as does			
18		BellSouth's retail customer. Pending Orders are discussed with the retail			
19		customer during the initial order contact; whereas, the CLEC's request for			
20		service must be clarified. BellSouth representatives are able to view the pending			
21		change orders and discuss with the customer the disposition of the work ordered			

1		CLEC's must have the customer who is in migration dispose of the pending work			
2		and wait patiently while orders are slowly worked or canceled and clear the			
3		system. In an effort to resolve the disparity ITC^DeltaCom has been the			
4		originator for two Change Control requests for which no resolution has been			
5		delivered. Change Control is the process by which updates are made to the			
6		OSS systems.			
7		In fact BellSouth only allows CLEC's a pending order flag via one interface			
8		(LENS), which was broken as the result of a coding defect for several months.			
9		This flawed approach prohibits CLECs from delivering service in a timely manner			
10		and affords BellSouth additional opportunity to Win Back or dissuade the			
11		customer from leaving BellSouth.			
12		Exhibit A are snapshots of the screens the ITC^DeltaCom Service			
13		Representatives see while attempting to work customer orders.			
14					
15	Q:	IN WHAT OTHER AREAS IS BELLSOUTH'S PROVISION OF OSS			
16		DISCRIMINATORY?			
17	A :	Change Control. ITC^DeltaCom continues to be penalized as the result of slow			
18	· 7	delivery of enhancement and defect coding changes. While CLECs pay for			
19		operational system support, the bulk of code deliveries are defect fixes.			
20		BellSouth is working to improve testing processes, however the bulk of software			
21		release capacity is devoted to cleaning up defects cased by the release. Exhibit			

B is a chart detailing the Release Package content and delivery dates. BellSouth still refuses to give CLEC's insight and voice into the full prioritization process.

A:

4 Q: WHAT DO WE KNOW OF BELLSOUTH'S PERFORMANCE?

Performance Measurement Platform (PMAP). No formal governing process other than Commission appeal exists for resolution of business issues. Data is continually recalculated, reloaded, reformated, readjusted, all in an effort to meet BellSouth's internal descriptions without full disclosure of the changes being made. BellSouth should make full disclosure of all data changes 60 days in advance of making a change giving CLECs an opportunity to adjust their internal auditing systems in sync with BellSouth. Operational reports,i.e. Line Loss Notification, reside on the PMAP web site, however, BellSouth does not support outage notification process for these functions. While BellSouth admits to making changes requested for "a specific" CLEC, no formal process exists for CLECs to request additional data fields, changes, or challenge of data validity.

Q:

WHY SHOULD THIS COMMISSION CONDUCT AN INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY TEST?

19 A: BellSouth has the burden of establishing that each and every requirement of 271 including the obligation to provide nondiscriminatory access to its services and facilities is satisfied. BellSouth relies heavily on its self-reported service quality measurement (SQM) report. Before this Commission should rely on that self-

reported data to determine check list compliance, reasonable assurance that the data reported is accurate and complete must be determined. BellSouth frequently posts the performance data and makes changes to that data at random and without prior to notice to ITC^DeltaCom. These frequent changes to the data make auditing extremely burdensome.

Therefore, I recommend that this Commission staff audit or employ an independent third party to review the accuracy of BellSouth's self-reported data.

9 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TEST MONY?

10 A: Yes. BellSouth has failed to meet the requirements for 271 in the state of
11 Tennessee, and I recommend that you order BellSouth to comply with the
12 requirement of providing nondiscriminatory OSS before granting their petition.

BST Release	Prod. Date	CR:2,4 &5	Defect:6
10.3	1-05-02	369,441,229,409, 422	459,527,530,532, 536,537,540,542, 570,571,573
10.3a 10.3.1	1-11-02 2-02-02	557,371,606,040, 133	602 459,580,588,589, 590,591,592,593, 594,595,596,597,
	0.00	and the second s	598,599,600,601, 608,610,612,626 520,643
10.3.2 10.4	2-09-02 3-23-02	016,651,137,657, 040,096,543	611,620,627,628, 632,633,634,635, 636,637,638,639,
10.4.1 10.5	3-28-02 5-18-02	368,461,365,078, 494,038,040,557, 020,145,146	658,703 706,713,715,716 471,472,473,535, 574,586,618,642, 668,678,679,682, 692,697,705,735,
10.5a 10.6	6-16-02 8-24-02	029,0196,241,541 ,707,725,756,160	738,740,741,745 831 339,682,693,704, 730,743,753,758, 766,769,800,810, 823,824,825,838

